



Confidential Report

Our Ref: 26/02789E/11/20



1066

**Notified Body
for PPE Directive,
Construction Products Regulation
& Marine Equipment Directive
I.D. No. 0338 & 0339**



Wira House, West Park Ring Road, Leeds, LS16 6QL, UK.
Telephone: +44 (0) 113 259 1999
Email: info@bttg.co.uk
: www.bttg.co.uk

Date: 27 November 2020

Our Ref: 26/02789E/11/20

Your Ref: ---

Page: 1 of 4

Client:

Polyflor Ltd

Radcliffe New Road
Whitefield
Manchester
M45 7NR

Job Title:

Fire Test on One Sample of Flooring

Clients Order Ref:

2251415

Date of Receipt:

16 November 2020

Description of Sample:


One sample of flooring, referenced;
Product Name: Expona Flow PUR
Nominal Thickness: 2.0
Weight Per Unit Area: 2.70
Batch Number: AA460
Shade: 9820 Blond Oak

Work Requested:

We were asked to make the following test(s):

AS ISO 9239-1

* subcontracted test, UKAS accredited
** subcontracted test, EN ISO/IEC 17025 accredited
*** not UKAS accredited



1066

Note: This report relates only to the samples submitted and as described in the report.

Shirley® Technologies Limited. Registered Office: Wira House, West Park Ring Road, Leeds, LS16 6QL.
A company registered in England & Wales with company number 04669651. VAT Number GB 816764800.

BTTG™ and Shirley® are trade names of Shirley Technologies Ltd.
The supply of all goods and services is subject to our standard terms of business, copies of which are available on request.
Our laboratories are accredited to EN ISO/IEC 17025.

Copyright © 2020 Shirley Technologies Limited. All rights reserved.



Wira House, West Park Ring Road, Leeds, LS16 6QL, UK.
Telephone: +44 (0) 113 259 1999
Email: info@bttg.co.uk
: www.bttg.co.uk

Date: 27 November 2020

Our Ref: 26/02789E/11/20
Your Ref: ---

Page: 2 of 4

Client: Polyflor Ltd

FIRE TESTS ACCORDING TO AS ISO 9239-1:2003

Reaction to fire tests for Floorings - Part 1: Determination of the burning behaviour using a radiant heat source (ISO 9239-1:2002)

Date of Test: 27/11/2020

Conditioning

The specimens were conditioned in accordance with BS EN 13238:2010. The substrate used was a fibre cement board (ISO 390) with a thickness of (6 ± 1) mm and a density of $(1,800 \pm 200)$ Kg/m³ representing the standard substrate of Class A1fl or A2fl.

Mounting Method

The specimens of floor covering were tested adhered to a 6mm fibre cement board, as defined in BS EN 13238:2010 using Uzin KE2000S Adhesive.

Procedure

The test was carried out in accordance with AS ISO 9239-1:2003. The sponsor sampled and cut the specimens to the dimensions stated.

Specimens were individually placed in the combustion chamber and allowed to preheat for two minutes under a radiant panel, which gives an imposed radiant flux ranging from approximately 11.0 kW/m² to 1.0 kW/m² along the specimen.

The pilot flame used was the line burner as described and was applied to the surface of the specimen for 10 minutes and then removed.

The flame front was measured at the end of the test or at 30 minutes if applicable.

Test termination was considered to be when the flame front self extinguished or at 30 minutes, whichever is the sooner.

The heat flux from the panel incident on the specimen when self extinguished or at 30 minutes (critical heat flux CHF or HF-30) was calculated from a prior calibration.



1066

Shirley® Technologies Limited. Registered Office: Wira House, West Park Ring Road, Leeds, LS16 6QL.
A company registered in England & Wales with company number 04669651. VAT Number GB 816764800.
BTTG™ and Shirley® are trade names of Shirley Technologies Ltd.
The supply of all goods and services is subject to our standard terms of business, copies of which are available on request.
Our laboratories are accredited to EN ISO/IEC 17025.

Copyright © 2020 Shirley Technologies Limited. All rights reserved.

Date: 27 November 2020

Our Ref: 26/02789E/11/20
Your Ref: ---

Page: 3 of 4

Client: **Polyflor Ltd**

Results

The test results relate to the behaviour of the test specimens of a material under the particular conditions of test; they are not intended to be the sole criterion for assessing the full potential fire hazard of the materials in use.

Specimen No.	Direction of spec.	Smoke Obscuration/ Development		Maximum Flame front (mm)	Heat Flux-30 (HF-30) (kW/m ²)	Critical Heat/Radiant Flux (CHF/CRF) (kW/m ²)	Duration of Flaming (sec)
		Max %	% x min				
1	Machine	100	302	151	10.0	10.0	732
2	Across	100	356	175	9.5	9.5	746
3	Across	100	319	202	9.1	9.1	748
4	Across	100	354	172	9.6	9.6	739
Mean of 3 specs.	Across	100	343	183	9.4	9.4	744

Distance Burnt (mm)	Time for each specimen to burn (s)			
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
50	190	160	165	150
100	240	204	200	191
150	278	244	238	245
200	--	--	266	--

Note

One specimen was initially tested in each direction and whichever direction gave the worst result a further two specimens were tested. Only the results of the 3 specimens in the same direction were used to calculate the mean results.




Wira House, West Park Ring Road, Leeds, LS16 6QL, UK.
Telephone: +44 (0) 113 259 1999
Email: info@bttg.co.uk
: www.bttg.co.uk

Date: 27 November 2020
Our Ref: 26/02789E/11/20
Your Ref: ---
Page: 4 of 4

Client: Polyflor Ltd

Reported by:.....23. March..... B Marsden (Mrs), Senior Fire Technician

Countersigned by:.......... P Doherty, Executive Manager

Enquiries concerning this report should be addressed to Customer Services.

